

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,584	02/10/2004	Yao-Ching Stephen Chen	SVL920030107US1	9752
45727 IP AUTHORIT	7590 04/25/200 Y, LLC	EXAMINER		
RAMRAJ SOUNDARARAJAN 9435 LORTON MARKET STREET #801			SYED, FARHAN M	
LORTON, VA		801	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/25/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/774,584	CHEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Farhan M. Syed	2165				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DARWING DARWING OF THE MAILING DARWING STATE OF THE MAILING DAWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING DAWN THE STATE OF THE MAILING DAWN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
·	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 January 2007</u> .					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-26 are pending.

Response to Remarks

2. The Examiner acknowledges the error in the non-Final Office action mailed 19 September 2006 in which claims 1-26 were improperly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). The Examiner intended to issue a proper 35 U.S.C. 102(a) rejection, based on the prior art cited as a printed publication.

Terminal Disclaimer

3. The terminal disclaimer filed on 19 December 2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 10 February 2031 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Double-Patenting Rejection

4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 12-14, filed 19 December 2006, with respect to claims 1-26 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The double patenting rejection of claims 1-26 in the non-Final Office Action mailed 19 September 2006 has been withdrawn.

Drawing

5. Applicant's arguments, see page 14, filed 19 December 2006, with respect to Figure 3 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of the drawing in a non-Final Office Action mailed 19 September 2006 has been withdrawn.

Response to Amendment

6. The affidavit under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 17 January 2007 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-26 based upon a non-Patent Literature titled "An efficient XML schema typing system" applied under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as set forth in the last Office action because: It include(s) statements which amount to an affirmation that the claimed subject matter functions as it was intended to function. This is not relevant to the issue of nonobviousness of the claimed subject matter and provides no objective evidence thereof. See MPEP § 716.

Furthermore, the additional co-authors Peter Housel and Michael Franz must also provide affidavits supporting the declarations made forth by Guogen Zhang and Nina Wang. In addition, since Yao-Ching Stephen Chen did not contribute to the authorship of the cited paper, Mr. Chen's declaration will not be considered by the Examiner.

Response to Argument

7. Applicant's arguments filed 19 December 2006 and 17 January 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues via the supplemental communication "that the invention was not known by others, or as the reference's disclosure was derived from Applicant's own work."

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Since the 37 CFR 1.132 affidavit was insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-26, the pending claims still stand rejected based on the prior art of record.

No additional arguments were made by the Applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

9. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by a non-patent literature titled "An Efficient XML Schema Typing System" by Wang, Nina and et al., pages 1-21, published 18 Nov. 2003 (and known hereinafter as Wang).

As per claims 1 and 25, Wang teaches a method for compiling a structured document schema into type annotation records (i.e. "Figure 1 shows the architecture of the XML typing module implementation. The main components are a Generic XML Parser, a Scanner Pool, the XML Typing engine, and an XML Schema Compiler. The XML Schema compiler compiles an XML Schema to automata encoding storage format.")(Section 1.1) comprising steps of: a. building a type hierarchy ordered tree from a structured document schema from type record wherein each of said type records contains typing tuples (i.e. "Traditionally, XML Schema" validation is done using a general-purpose XML Schema validation parser, which parses an XML document and XML Schema definition into internal tree automaton formats, then traverses the document tree and checks it against the internal tree automaton.")(Section 1), b. creating a typing set containing said typing tuples in said type hierarchy ordered tree (i.e. "Traditionally, XML Schema validation is done using a general-purpose XML Schema validation parser, which parses an XML document and XML Schema definition into internal tree automaton formats, then traverses the document tree and checks it against the internal tree automaton.")(Section 1), c. creating an ambiguity typing sequence for said typing tuples sharing a common first field and having a unique second field (i.e. "If a terminal has ambiguous types then the type# of its terminal dictionary entry points to one of its type. The remaining types are stored contiguously in typearray after its type#.")(Section 3.5.1), d. arranging said ambiguity typing sequence based on an offset number assigned to a third field of each of said typing tuples in said ambiguity typing

sequence (i.e. "A field offset is assigned to all types in Tc. The remaining types will be addressed by type# + offset. " "The order [sic] of entries in the typearray are correlated with the offset of each type. Before we present the algorithm which determines the order of entries in the typearray and the offset of each type, we first introduce a relation called compete. Definition 5 (compete) 8 Tc:x; Tc:y 2 Tc. Tc:x competes with Tc:y if and only if a non-terminal Xi in Tc:x binds a terminal al to a type Ti and while a nonterminal Xj in Tc:y binds the same terminal al to a different type Tj. This relation is denoted by Tc:x v Tc:y. ")(Section 3.5.1, Section 3.5.2), e. extracting a second field from each of said typing tuples accorded to sorted order of said ambiguity typing sequences, and creating a type indexing data structure populated with said extracted second field to map each type name to a type (i.e. "For all edges (Tx; Ty) in a type reference graph, reverse edges (Ty; Tx) are added into the type reference graph such that given a type we can find the content model of that type. The improved data structure with the extended terminal dictionary and reverse edges is illustrated in Figure 6." "For example, the following is a valid assignment of offsets to types in Figure 7. Tx with offset=0, Ty with offset=1, Tz with offset=2, Tv with offset=3, Tu with offset=4, Tw with offset=5. Ts can be assigned to any empty slot in the typearray since it doesn't compete with any other types. Once we have offset for each type, we need to assign a unique type# to all terminals.")(Section 3.5.1, Section 3.5.2).

As per claim 2, Wang teaches a method for the compilation of a structured document schema, wherein said structured document schema is an XML document schema (i.e. "Type validation, in particular XML Schema validation, checks if XML data conforms to its type definition. We need to be able to check any type in T. Recall that T = Ts [Tc. Because Ts and Tc are sets of regular expressions over different domains, they need to be processed separately.")(Section 3.4).

As per claim 3, Wang teaches a method wherein said typing tuples in said typing set are sorted to create said ambiguity typing sequence (Figure 2).

As per claim 4, Wang teaches a method wherein said arranging step is further comprised of: collecting each third field of said typing tuples and sorting said typing tuples in said ambiguity sequence with respect to third field of said typing tuple (i.e. "For example, the following is a valid assignment of offsets to types in Figure 7. Tx with offset=0, Ty with offset=1, Tz with offset=2, Tv with offset=3, Tu with offset=4, Tw with offset=5.")(Section 3.5.2).

As per claim 5, Wang teaches a method wherein a typing tuple is comprised of an element type name in said first field, a type identifier in said second field, and a parent element name in said third field (Figure 2).

As per claim 6, Wang teaches a method, wherein said name in said first field is used in said sorting step to alphabetically sort said typing tuples in typing set (i.e. "Definition 2") (Grammar of XML Type) The grammar of XML type Tc:xsd is a 5-tuple G = (N, I, S 0, T, P), where:1. N is finite set of non-terminals. 2. I is finite set of terminals (XML element names). 3. T = Ts [Tc, Ts is a finite set of regular expression Ts:x over the input alphabet. Tc is a finite set of regular expression Tc:x over N. Each regular expression Tx is assigned a unique type name. Two types are equivalent if and only if both types have the same type name. 4. S 0 is the start symbol and S 0! TTc:xsd, where Tc:xsd 2 Tc and Tc:xsd denotes the type defined by an XML Schema. 5. P is a finite set of production rules of the form Xi! aiTx, where Xi 2 N; ai 2 I and Tx 2 T and Xi has only one production rule and each production rule binds one terminal ai to a type Tx.")(Section 3.1).

Art Unit: 2165

As per claim 7, Wang teaches a method wherein said name is one of: a type name, element name, or attribute name; and said type identifier is one of: a type, element, or attribute (Figure 2).

As per claim 8, Wang teaches a method wherein said third field is empty if said parent element name corresponds to a global element type (Figure 2).

As per claim 9, Wang teaches a method wherein a typing set is comprised of distinct typing tuples, wherein two typing tuples are distinct if either said first fields of both of said typing tuples are different or said second fields of both of said typing tuples are different (i.e. "Type validation, in particular XML Schema validation, checks if XML data conforms to its type definition. We need to be able to check any type in T. Recall that T = Ts [Tc. Because Ts and Tc are sets of regular expressions over different domains, they need to be processed separately.")(Section 3.4).

As per claim 10, Wang teaches a method wherein said offset in said arranging is the position of said ambiguity type in an ambiguity typing sequence (i.e. "XML Schema [17] allows both named type declaration and anonymous type declaration. A named type is unique in its namespace. An anonymous type declaration is required to be assigned a unique name in its namespace in the data model used by XQuery 1.0 and XPath 2.0 [18].")(Figure 2; Section 2.1, section 3).

As per claim 11, Wang teaches a method wherein said type indexing data structure can be any one of: a hash table, a binary tree, and a B+ tree (i.e. "Based on the

Art Unit: 2165

above observation, the terminal dictionary is extended. Each entry in the terminal dictionary contains four fields namely terminal, tok, ntypes, and type#. The ntypes field is the number of types bound to a terminal. type# is an index into a typearray.")(Section 3.5.1).

As per claim 12, Wang teaches a method wherein said type indexing data structure is comprised of a column indicating ambiguity type for each of said type names and a column indicating offset (i.e. "The order of entries in the typearray are correlated with the offset of each type. Before we present the algorithm which determines the order of entries in the typearray and the offset of each type, we first introduce a relation called compete.") (Section 3.5.2).

As per claim 13, Wang teaches a method for a database engine to perform type annotation of structured documents or structured document fragments in the absence of full schema validation (i.e. "The validation algorithm can validate both XML documents and XML document fragments. If we need to validate XML data against an XML Schema, then we can invoke validate(T; XML stream) with T = Tc:xsd. If the XML data is a well-formed fragment, then T is the assigned type of the XML fragment." "Type-annotation can be separated from validation. The user has the choice of doing both validation and type annotation, or type annotation only.")(Section 3.4, Section 1.2), comprising steps of: a. building a type annotation data structure comprising a structured document type hierarchy, a type indexing data structure, and a type array (i.e. "In this section we define a concise model to capture the essence of XML Schema. Starting with an XML Schema example expressed in the form of the concise model, we can map the model to a data structure step by step. Type annotation and validation are addressed, and querying type using of an enhanced data structure and algorithm is also discussed." "In many cases, if we know that XML data is well-typed, we can annotate XML data with type information without re-validating the XML data. The function typing: Tc T I ! T provides sufficient information for type annotation. The type annotation algorithm is given

Art Unit: 2165

below." "Based on the above observation, the terminal dictionary is extended. Each entry in the terminal dictionary contains four fields namely terminal, tok, ntypes, and type#. The ntypes field is the number of types bound to a terminal. type# is an index into a typearray.")(Section 3; 3.3, 3.5.1), b. mapping a type name string to each element type in said structured document type hierarchy, and annotating a structured document or fragment using type annotation records obtained from said type annotation data structure and said type name mapping (i.e. "Basic validation against XML Schema and incremental validation [13] after update are necessary for an XML database system. To provide strong typing, XML data will need type annotation with or without validation. Accurate and highly efficient access to XML Schema and type information is the basis for all the areas of XML Schema-aware applications to take advantage of strong typing. Traditionally, XML Schema validation is done using a general-purpose XML Schema validation parser, which parses an XML document and XML Schema definition into internal tree automaton formats, then traverses the document tree and checks it against the internal tree automaton.")(Section 1).

As per claims 14 and 26, Wang teaches a method for a database engine to perform type annotation, wherein said mapping step further comprises steps of: a. loading said type annotation data structure into a runtime validation engine (i.e. "This typing system can be used as part of the runtime environment for XML processing languages that adopt XML Schema as the type system.")(Abstract), b. creating an empty offset stack data structure (i.e. "A field offset is assigned to all types in Tc. The remaining types will be addressed by type# + offset.")(Section 3.5.1), c. pushing record containing a value of zero onto said offset stack (i.e. "The first type is the type Tc:name0 pointed by its type#. The second type, Ts:string, is the non-empty slot in typearray following the type#.")(Section 3.5.2), d. using a token from an XML document or document fragment to key a search on a type indexing data structure to determine an

Art Unit: 2165

index for said token (i.e. "The validation algorithm can validate both XML documents and XML document fragments. If we need to validate XML data against an XML Schema, then we can invoke validate(T; XML stream) with T = Tc:xsd. If the XML data is a well-formed fragment, then T is the assigned type of the XML fragment.")(Section 3.4), e. incrementing said index by value in topmost record of offset stack if said token is indicated to be of ambiguous type, and indicating element type in a type array at said index location (i.e. "XML Schema [17] allows both named type declaration and anonymous type declaration. A named type is unique in its namespace. An anonymous type declaration is required to be assigned a unique name in its namespace in the data model used by XQuery 1.0 and XPath 2.0 [18].")(Section 2.1).

As per claim 15, Wang teaches a method for a database engine to perform type annotation, herein said type is an XML type (i.e. "XML Schema uses a named type system, making type checking simple.")(Section 2.1).

As per claim 16, Wang teaches a method for a database engine to perform type annotation, wherein said record is a type annotation record (i.e. "Type annotation and validation are addressed, and querying type using of an enhanced data structure and algorithm is also discussed.")(Section 3).

As per claim 17, Wang teaches a method for a database engine to perform type annotation, wherein said method supports defaults, "any" type, and "xsi:nil=`true`" attribute (Figure 1).

As per claim 18, Wang teaches a method for a database engine to perform type annotation, wherein attribute defaults are supported by associating attribute types with element types in said type annotation records (Figure 1).

As per claim 19, Wang teaches a method for a database engine to perform type annotation, wherein a type is annotated with "any" type if an index is not located for said token in said searching step (i.e. "Based on the above observation, the terminal dictionary is extended. Each entry in the terminal dictionary contains four fields namely terminal, tok, ntypes, and type#. The ntypes field is the number of types bound to a terminal. type# is an index into a typearray.")(Section 3.5.1).

As per claim 20, Wang teaches a method for a database engine to perform type annotation, wherein said method is not performed if an "xsi:nil=`true` attribute is encountered (Figure 1).

As per claim 21, Wang teaches a method for a database engine to perform type annotation, wherein said token comprises any of: a start tag and element name; a start tag, element name, and type name; an attribute type and attribute name; or an end tag (i.e. "The first type is the typeTc:name0 pointed by its type#. The second type, Ts:string, is the non-empty slot in typearray following the type#. Then we start from the node of Tc:name0, Ts:string in the type reference graph respectively traversing back to their referrer. Until Tc:xsd, then both Tc:name0 and Ts:string are qualified types of (Tc:xsd; ==name). Assume Tc:xsd doesn't not appear on the back traversing path start from Ts:string, then Ts:string is not a qualified type.")(Section 3.5.1).

Art Unit: 2165

As per claim 22, Wang teaches a method for a database engine to perform type annotation, wherein said ambiguous type of said token is determined by a consultation of said typing array (i.e. "The oder of entries in the typearray are correlated with the offset of each type. Before we present the algorithm which determines the order of entries in the typearray and the offset of each type, we first introduce a relation called compete." "The annotation provides relationships among types and finite automata provide efficient validation mechanism. Together, the proposed techniques address the needs for efficient validation, type annotation and quick access to type information contained in XML Schemata.")(Section 5).

As per claim 23, Wang teaches a method for a database engine to perform type annotation, wherein a record is pushed onto said offset stack if said token is either a start tag and element name; or a start tag, element name, and type name (i.e. "The first type is the typeTc:name0 pointed by its type#. The second type, Ts:string, is the non-empty slot in typearray following the type#. Then we start from the node of Tc:name0, Ts:string in the type reference graph respectively traversing back to their referrer. Until Tc:xsd, then both Tc:name0 and Ts:string are qualified types of (Tc:xsd; ==name). Assume Tc:xsd doesn't not appear on the back traversing path start from Ts:string, then Ts:string is not a qualified type.")(Section 3.5.1).

As per claim 24, Wang teaches a method for a database engine to perform type annotation, wherein if said token is an end tag; a topmost record of said offset stack is removed (i.e. "In many cases, if we know that XML data is well-typed, we can annotate XML data with type information without re-validating the XML data. The function typing: Tc T !! T provides sufficient information for type annotation. The type annotation algorithm is given below." A field offset is assigned to all types in Tc. The remaining types will be addressed by type# + offset.")(Section 3.3).

Art Unit: 2165

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Farhan M. Syed whose telephone number is 571-272-7191. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,584 Page 15

Art Unit: 2165

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

FMS